

Addendum No. 1



Engineering Consulting Services for Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Request for Proposals (RFP) No. P201123EN

Proponent Questions and Answers

1. What budget has the County allocated for Phase 1 Conceptual Engineering Services?

The County will not be disclosing the budget.

2. Given that the conceptual design is yet to be determined, we would request that the County disclose how much budget has been allocated for each of the detailed design and construction phases of the project. Alternatively, for proponent pricing to be evaluated equally, please identify a conceptual design solution to which all proponents shall develop their pricing for phases 2 and 3.

Phase 2 – Detailed Design and Tender Phase should assume open cut replacement. As stated in the RFP Phase 3 – Construction Supervision Services “the proponent is to assume 6 months of construction supervision for bid, upon further completion of Phase 2, construction duration will be further quantified; the successful consultant will be paid on actual construction duration.

3. The RFP states that the successful proponent is to identify areas of temporary workspace and areas of any potential future easements. Produce IOPs for any area outside Parkland County owned land. Are land services required for this project?

Section 4.1.3.1 (iii)(b) is asking the proponent produce IOPs showing temporary workspace and easement requirements. The IOPs must show items listed in 4.1.3.1 (iii)(b). No legal land services are required for this task.

4. Are proponents to include a provision for land services outside of legal survey in their proposal?

No. See response to Question #5 below.

5. Is it reasonable to assume the \$20,000.00 allowances for hydrovac and legal are intended to be “place holders,” to create a level playing field, and will be direct billed at cost to the County with the successful proponent responsible for coordination only?

Yes the \$20,000 allowances are placeholders for hydrovac and legal to create a level playing field. Successful proponent is responsible for retaining and coordinating the hydrovac and legal land service services. Fees from service providers to be included at cost in proponent's invoices.

6. Will the Sanitary System model completed for the Entwistle Infrastructure Assessment be provided to successful proponent?

If the successful proponent believes the model will help inform the design, it may be provided.

7. Are there any issues with odor at MH-006? There appears to be a large drop at this manhole.

No odor complaints at MH-006 have been documented.

8. If sanitary pipe from MH 6 to 4 is replaced, will the gravel road surface be replaced with pavement?

The gravel surface will remain gravel after construction.

9. As listed in Section 2.3.3-b of the RFP, in what form do you want to see the proof of permit to practice? Will an image of permit to practice work?

An image or PDF version should be included within the submission.

10. Understanding that the topographic survey is required, should proponents include the construction layout surveys, or will this be the responsibility of the contractor?

The expectation is that the successful proponent will lay out benchmarks and reference points only, and all work will be laid out by the contractor.

11. Do proponents need to include the materials testing for construction or will the County be hiring someone separately?

Proponents should include materials testing in their proposal.

12. Do proponents need to include any ESA, BIA, TIA or any other reports in our proposals?

This has not been identified in the scope and therefore is not required. Proponents may recommend out of scope items in their proposal if they believe they are necessary.

****Acknowledgement of this Addendum is required in the submission****